

Name : Rahul Mansotra

Roll No : P2108

Problems 4.2 , Page No-185

Q 17 Let F be a mapping of a complete metric space into itself
— if x is a fixed point of F^m (for some m), does it follow
that x is a fixed point of F ?

Sol. Need not be

Example : let $F: [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$ defined by

$$F(x) = \begin{cases} 2x, & x \in [0, \frac{1}{2}] \\ 2(1-x), & x \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1] \end{cases}$$

Note : $x=0, \frac{2}{3}$ are the fixed pts. of F

Now, $F^2: [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$

$$F^2(x) = \begin{cases} 4x, & x \in [0, \frac{1}{4}] \\ 2-4x, & x \in [\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}] \\ 4x-2, & x \in [\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{4}] \\ 4-4x, & x \in [\frac{3}{4}, 1] \end{cases}$$

Note : $x=0, \frac{2}{3}, \frac{2}{5}, \frac{4}{5}$ are the fixed pts. of F^2
and $[0,1]$ is complete being closed subset of complete
metric space.

Note : $x = \frac{4}{5}$ is not a fixed point of F still

$x = \frac{4}{5}$ is a fixed point of F^2 .

Q 18 Let $f: [0,b] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Prove that if f and $\frac{\partial f}{\partial t}$ are
cts (t being the second argument of f) and $\frac{\partial f}{\partial t}$ are
bounded, then the I.V.P $x'(s) = f(s, x(s)), x(0) = \beta$
has a unique soln on $[0,b]$.

Sol. The Initial Value Problem (I.V.P) $x'(s) = f(s, x(s))$
 $x(0) = \beta$ is equivalent to
 $Ax = x, Ax(s) = \int_0^s f(s, x(s)) ds + \beta$

Since $\frac{\partial f}{\partial t}$ is bdd $\Rightarrow \exists M \in \mathbb{R}^+$ s.t. $|\frac{\partial f}{\partial t}| \leq M$

Define $\phi_s: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $\phi_s(t) = f(s, t)$

$\Rightarrow \phi_s$ is cts. as f is cts.

Note: for any $t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{R}$, by Mean Value Theorem

$$\phi_s(t_2) - \phi_s(t_1) = \left(\frac{\partial \phi_s}{\partial t}(t_3) \right) (t_2 - t_1) \text{ for some } t_3 \in (t_1, t_2)$$

$$\text{i.e. } f(s, t_2) - f(s, t_1) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial t}(s, t_3) (t_2 - t_1) \rightarrow \textcircled{1}$$

Define a new function $\|\cdot\|_W$ on $C[0, b]$ as

$$\|x\|_W = \sup_{s \in [0, b]} |x(s)| e^{-2Ms} \text{ clearly } \|\cdot\|_W \text{ is a norm on } C[0, b]$$

$$\text{Note: } e^{-2Mb} \|x\| \leq \|x\|_W \leq \|x\|$$

$\Rightarrow (C[0, b], \|\cdot\|_W)$ is complete as $(C[0, b], \|\cdot\|)$ is complete.

In order to apply contraction Mapping Theorem, we need to check that

1) $A: C[0, b] \rightarrow C[0, b]$

2) $(C[0, b], \|\cdot\|_W)$ is complete

3) A is contraction.

clearly (1) & (2) follows

For (3), $|Au(\beta) - Av(\beta)| = \left| \int_0^\beta (f(s, u(s)) - f(s, v(s))) ds \right|$

$$\leq \int_0^\beta |f(s, u(s)) - f(s, v(s))| ds$$

$$= \int_0^\beta \left| \frac{\partial f}{\partial t}(s, t_3) (u(s) - v(s)) \right| ds$$

for some $t_3 \in (u(s), v(s))$
(by $\textcircled{1}$)

$$\begin{aligned}
|Au(b) - Av(b)| &\leq M \int_0^b |u(s) - v(s)| ds \\
&= M \int_0^b e^{2Ms} e^{-2Ms} |u(s) - v(s)| ds \\
&\leq M \|u - v\| \int_0^b e^{2Ms} ds \\
&= M \|u - v\| \left[\frac{e^{2Ms}}{2M} \right]_0^b \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \|u - v\| (e^{2Mb} - 1) \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2} \|u - v\| e^{2Mb}
\end{aligned}$$

$$e^{-2Mb} |Au(b) - Av(b)| \leq \frac{1}{2} \|u - v\|$$

$$\|Au - Av\| \leq \frac{1}{2} \|u - v\|$$

$\Rightarrow A$ is a contraction from $(C[0, b], \|\cdot\|_\infty)$ to $(C[0, b], \|\cdot\|_\infty)$

by contraction Mapping theorem

$\exists x \in C[0, b]$ (unique) s.t. $Ax = x$

i.e. $x'(s) = f(s, x(s))$ has unique soln on $[0, b]$.

Q19 Prove that if $F: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is a contraction, then $F+I$ is a homeomorphism of \mathbb{R}^n onto \mathbb{R}^n .

Sol. clearly F is cts as F is contraction on \mathbb{R}^n

$$\Rightarrow \exists \lambda \in (0, 1) \text{ s.t. } \|Fx - Fy\| \leq \lambda \|x - y\|$$

for any $\epsilon > 0$, $\exists \delta = \frac{\epsilon}{\lambda}$ s.t. whenever $\|x - y\| < \delta$

$$\|Fx - Fy\| \leq \lambda \|x - y\| < \lambda \delta = \epsilon$$

Also I is cts

$\Rightarrow I + F$ is cts

$I+F$ is 1-1:

$$\text{Suppose } (F+I)(u_1) = (F+I)(u_2)$$

$$\Rightarrow F(u_1) + I(u_1) = F(u_2) + I(u_2)$$

$$\Rightarrow F(u_1) - F(u_2) = u_2 - u_1$$

On the contrary suppose $u_1 \neq u_2$, then

$$\|u_1 - u_2\| = \|F(u_1) - F(u_2)\| \leq \lambda \|u_1 - u_2\|$$
$$< \|u_1 - u_2\|, \lambda \in (0, 1)$$

which is absurd.

$$\Rightarrow u_1 = u_2 \Rightarrow I+F \text{ is 1-1!}$$

$I+F$ is onto: let $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$

Note: $v-f$ is a contraction on \mathbb{R}^n

$$\because \|(v-f)(x) - (v-f)(y)\| = \|v - f(x) - v + f(y)\|$$
$$= \|f(x) - f(y)\| \leq \lambda \|x - y\|$$

$\lambda \in (0, 1)$

as f is contraction on \mathbb{R}^n

Note: \mathbb{R}^n is complete and $v-f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$
by Contraction Mapping Theorem

$$(v-f)(x) = x$$

$$\Rightarrow v = x + f(x)$$

$$\Rightarrow v = (f+I)(x)$$

as v was arb.

$$\Rightarrow I+F \text{ is onto}$$

$(I+F)^{-1}$ is continuous; It is equivalent to

$I+F$ is open map.

let U be an open set in \mathbb{R}^n

$$(I+F)(U) = \bigcup_{v \in U} (F(v) + U)$$

Since $F: X \rightarrow X$, X is Topological Vector Space } \rightarrow (II)
 defined by $F(x) = x + a$, for some $a \in X$ (fixed)

Then F is a homeomorphism

$\Rightarrow F(v) + U$, $\forall v \in U$ is open

$\Rightarrow \bigcup_{v \in U} (F(v) + U)$ is open

$\Rightarrow (I+F)(U)$ is open

Thus, $I+F$ is cts, 1-1, onto & $(I+F)^{-1}$ is cts

Hence, $I+F$ is homeomorphism on \mathbb{R}^n .

Note: if $\lambda = 0$, then F is constant

say $F(x) = a$, for some $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$ (fixed)

clearly F is cts as $\|F(x) - F(y)\| = 0$

$$\Rightarrow \|F(x) - F(y)\| < \|x - y\|, x \neq y$$

for any $\epsilon > 0$, $\exists \delta = \epsilon$ s.t. whenever

$$\|x - y\| < \delta \Rightarrow \|F(x) - F(y)\| \leq \|x - y\| < \epsilon$$

$$\text{i.e. } \|F(x) - F(y)\| < \epsilon$$

Also I is cts

$\Rightarrow I+F$ is cts

$I+F$ is 1-1: Suppose $(I+F)(x_1) = (I+F)(x_2)$

$$\Rightarrow x_1 + F(x_1) = x_2 + F(x_2)$$

$$\Rightarrow x_1 - x_2 = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow x_1 = x_2$$

$\Rightarrow I+F$ is 1-1

$I+F$ is onto: let $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$

$$\text{clearly, } \exists v - a \in \mathbb{R}^n \text{ s.t. } (I+F)(v-a)$$

$$= v - a + F(v-a)$$

$$= v - a + a = v$$

$\Rightarrow I + F$ is onto
 $(I + F)^{-1}$ is cts. as $I + F$ is open is equivalent to $(I + F)^{-1}$ is cts.

Let U be an open set in \mathbb{R}^n

$$(I + F)(U) = a + U$$

by (II) $(I + F)(U)$ is open

Thus, $I + F$ is cts, 1-1, onto & open.

Hence $I + F$ is homeomorphism on \mathbb{R}^n .