

WEAKLY CONVERGENT

A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in $(X, ||\cdot||)$ is said to be weakly convergent at x if $\forall f \in X'$ we have

$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(x_n) \implies f(x)$ i.e. $x_n \xrightarrow{w} x$ (Notation)

Theorem 1 Let X be a reflexive space. Then any bounded sequence contains a weakly convergent subsequence.

Theorem 2 Let M be a closed convex set in a norm space in norm topology then it is also weakly closed too.

Theorem If $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ are sequences in Hilbert space H s.t. $x_n \xrightarrow{w} x$ & $y_n \xrightarrow{w} y$

Then $\langle x_n, y_n \rangle \longrightarrow \langle x, y \rangle$

Proposition Let M be a bounded closed & convex in a (real) Hilbert space H . Let F be a nonexpansive map from M to M . Then there is a fixed point of F in M . Moreover if

$x_0 \in M$ $x_n = F(x_{n-1})$ and $y_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} x_k$

Then the sequence $\{y_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ converges weakly to the fixed point.

Proof $y_n \in M$ Therefore $\langle y_n \rangle$ is a bounded sequence in a Hilbert space H and hence which is reflexive. Thus \exists a subsequence $\langle y_{n_k} \rangle$ of y_n s.t. $y_{n_k} \xrightarrow{w} \tilde{x}$

and $\tilde{x} \in M$ (as M is bounded closed convex using Theorem 2 ~~and~~)

Fix such y_{n_k}

Claim $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|F y_n - y_n\| = 0$

consider for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\begin{aligned} \|F^k(x_0) - y_n\|^2 &= \|F^k(x_0) - F(y_n) + F(y_n) - y_n\|^2 \\ &= \|F^k(x_0) - F(y_n)\|^2 + \|F(y_n) - y_n\|^2 \\ &\quad + 2 \langle F^k(x_0) - F(y_n), F(y_n) - y_n \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Taking sum from $k=0$ to $n-1$ and then dividing by n we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \|F^k(x_0) - y_n\|^2 &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \|F^k(x_0) - F(y_n)\|^2 + \|F(y_n) - y_n\|^2 \\ &\quad + 2 \langle y_n - F(y_n), F(y_n) - y_n \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \|F^k(x_0) - F(y_n)\|^2 - \|F(y_n) - y_n\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

Thus we get

$$\|F(y_n) - y_n\|^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \|F^k(x_0) - F(y_n)\|^2 - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \|F^k(x_0) - y_n\|^2 \quad (*)$$

Applying non expansiveness on $\|F^k(x_0) - F(y_n)\| \leq \|F^{k-1}(x_0) - y_n\|$

$$\leq \|F^{k-1}(x_0) - y_n\|$$

As the sum in (*) runs from $k=0$ to $n-1$ to avoid negative in power we will take out 1st term and then apply non expansiveness

$$\|F(y_n) - y_n\|^2 \leq \frac{1}{n} \|x_0 - F(y_n)\|^2 + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \|F^{k-1}(x_0) - y_n\|^2 - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \|F^k(x_0) - y_n\|^2$$

$$\|F(y_n) - y_n\|^2 \leq \frac{1}{n} \|x_0 - F(y_n)\|^2 - \frac{1}{n} \|F^{n-1}(x_0) - y_n\|^2$$

as $x_0 - F(y_n)$ and $F^{n-1}(x_0) - y_n$ are bounded sequence as M is bounded therefore taking $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty}$ we get

$$\|F(y_n) - y_n\| \rightarrow 0$$

Claim To show \hat{u} is the fixed point of F i.e. $F(\hat{u}) = \hat{u}$ equivalent to show

$$\|F(\hat{u}) - \hat{u}\|^2 \leq 0$$

i.e. To show $\langle F(\hat{u}) - \hat{u}, F(\hat{u}) - \hat{u} \rangle \leq 0$

Consider for any $z \in H$ we will show that

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle z - F(z) + F(y_{n_k}) - y_{n_k}, z - y_{n_k} \rangle \geq 0 \text{ for any } k \in \mathbb{N} \\ & = \langle z - y_{n_k}, z - y_{n_k} \rangle - \langle F(z) - F(y_{n_k}), z - y_{n_k} \rangle \\ & \geq \|z - y_{n_k}\|^2 - \|z - y_{n_k}\|^2 = 0 \end{aligned}$$

because $\langle F(z) - F(y_{n_k}), z - y_{n_k} \rangle \leq \|F(z) - F(y_{n_k})\| \cdot \|z - y_{n_k}\|$

by using Cauchy Schwartz inequality

now further we have

$$\langle F(z) - F(y_{n_k}), z - y_{n_k} \rangle \leq \|z - y_{n_k}\|^2$$

Using non expansiveness and then

$$-\langle F(z) - F(y_{n_k}), z - y_{n_k} \rangle \geq -\|z - y_{n_k}\|^2$$

Now we have $z - y_{n_k} \xrightarrow{w} z - \hat{u}$

and $z - F(z) + F(y_{n_k}) - y_{n_k} \xrightarrow{w} z - F(z)$

as $\|F y_n - y_n\| \rightarrow 0$

Thus we have using Theorem 3 that

$$\langle z - F(z), z - \hat{u} \rangle \geq 0 \quad (*) \quad \forall z \in H$$

we choose $z = (1-t)\hat{u} + tF(\hat{u}) \quad t \in (0,1)$

Clearly $z \in M$ being a convex set

putting value of z in $(*)$ we get

$$\langle (1-t)\hat{u} + t f(\hat{u}) - f((1-t)\hat{u} + t f(\hat{u})), -t\hat{u} + f(\hat{u}) \rangle \geq 0$$

Dividing by t and taking $t \rightarrow 0$ and using the fact that inner product is a continuous function and f is a continuous function on M we get

$$\langle \hat{u} - f(\hat{u}), -\hat{u} + f(\hat{u}) \rangle \geq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \| \hat{u} - f(\hat{u}) \|^2 \leq 0$$

Claim $\{y_n\} \xrightarrow{w} \hat{u}$

let $\{y_{n_k}\}$ be another subsequence of y_n

s.t $\{y_{n_k}\} \xrightarrow{w} v$ our ~~is~~ aim is to

show that $v = \hat{u}$

Note v is a fixed too we can prove similarly like \hat{u}

Now first observe that if x is any fixed point of f then

$$\|x_n - x\|^2 = \|f(x_{n-1}) - f(x)\|^2 \leq \|x_{n-1} - x\|^2 \quad \text{--- (A)}$$

let $a_n = \|x_n - x\|^2$ Then $a_n \leq a_{n-1}$ hence $\{a_n\}$ is a decreasing sequence also $a_n \geq 0$

thus lower bounded and hence $\{a_n\}$ sequence converges to it's infimum

$$\text{let } \phi(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - x\|^2 = \inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|x_n - x\|^2$$

as \hat{u} is a fixed point we have

$$\phi(\tilde{u}) = \inf_{x \in N} \|x_n - x\|^2$$

thus $\phi(\tilde{u}) \leq \|x_k - x\|^2 = \|x_k - v + v - \tilde{u}\|^2$

$$\phi(\tilde{u}) \leq \|x_k - v\|^2 + \|v - \tilde{u}\|^2 + 2 \langle x_k - v, v - \tilde{u} \rangle$$

Now taking sum from $k=0$ to $n-1$ and dividing by n we get that

$$\phi(\tilde{u}) \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \|x_k - v\|^2 + \|v - \tilde{u}\|^2 + 2 \langle y_n - v, v - \tilde{u} \rangle$$

let $n = n_k$ and taking $k \rightarrow \infty$ we get

$$\phi(\tilde{u}) \leq \phi(v) - \|\tilde{u} - v\|^2 \quad \text{--- (B)}$$

because $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \|x_k - v\|^2 = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|x_k - v\|^2 = \phi(v)$

Using Cauchy \mathbb{I}^+ Theorem on limits v is a fixed point too

also $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n_k} \sum_{k=0}^{n_k-1} \|x_k - v\|^2 = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|x_k - v\|^2$

as subsequential limit and sequential limit are same for convergent sequence.

also $y_{n_k} \xrightarrow{w} \tilde{u}$

In a similar way we can prove that

$$\phi(v) \leq \phi(\tilde{u}) - \|\tilde{u} - v\|^2 \quad \text{--- (C)}$$

from (B) and (C) we have

$$\|\tilde{u} - v\|^2 \leq 0 \Rightarrow \boxed{\tilde{u} = v}$$

(7)

Hence we have shown that any subsequential weakly limit of y_n is \tilde{x} .

we will claim using this that $y_n \xrightarrow{w} \tilde{x}$

suppose that is not true then i.e

$y_n \not\xrightarrow{w} \tilde{x}$ then $\exists T_1 \in H^*$ such that

$T_1(y_n) \not\rightarrow \tilde{x}$ thus then \exists a subsequence

y_{n_m} say s.t

$$|T(y_{n_m}) - T(\tilde{x})| \geq \epsilon_0 \quad \textcircled{D} \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{N}$$

for some $\epsilon_0 > 0$

now $\{y_{n_m}\}$ is again a bounded sequence

in a Hilbert (reflexive) space which will

have a convergent subsequence weakly

but this will contradict \textcircled{D} . therefore

our assumption that $y_n \not\xrightarrow{w} \tilde{x}$ is wrong.